

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,421		03/29/2001	Jay H. Connelly	42390P10861	9401	
8791	7590	08/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		OFF TAYLOR & :	STERRETT, JO	ONATHAN G		
SEVENTH		, obb vind		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030		3623		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
į	09/823,421	CONNELLY, JAY H.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jonathan G. Sterrett	3623		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence	
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other explaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within time periods:	evidence, which 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the fin set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	propriate extension fee al Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissa a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a) AMENDMENTS	I of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ente (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	red because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simpli appeal; and/or	fying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	r
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendments. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	ment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed ame non-allowable claim(s).	, l
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	d an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal verbecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evided was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellashowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.3	ant fails to provide a 3(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for a The examiner maintains the rejection of Claim 1 under Gerace '396 because Gerace discloses "receiving a each of the plurality of clients transparent to the user of the clients". This limitation is disclosed in Column Session Object 37d records various feedback data from the user's computer comprised of 1, the referring I identification number; and 3, an indication of the web browser software. The user is not aware of the activity 37d in receiving this feedback data and therefore the receipt of this feedback data is transparent to the user further discloses "wherein the feedback data is based on information stored in a memory device of each of Because the above feedback data is retrieved from the user's computer, the feedback data is therefore based on a memory device (i.e. the memory of the user's computer) of each of the clients.	a feedback data from 6 line 48-55, User ink; 2. the user's ties of user object er. Gerace .396 these clients".
Examiner Comments: The specification of this application addresses obtaining feedback information from users various television shows and movies for the purpose of providing feedback summaries to the content providing the possibility of allowable subject matter in the specification, the claims as written are so broad as to have addressed under the cited art, namely Gerace '396 and Abelow '908. Gerace '396 discloses determining to of a computer user (not a television viewer). Abelow '908 discloses a module contained in a product that the	der. Notwithstanding limitations that are he behavioural profile
usage data back to the manufacturer for the purpose of refining product specifications 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	susame
13. Other:	PRIMARY EXAMINER
2	AU 3623

Continuation	Sheet ((PTOL	-303)

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 8262005

SUSANNA M. DIAZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 3623